

Crank in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook

Manjil P. Saikia

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Tezpur University, India
Email: manjil@gonitsora.com

Tezpur, October 3, 2013

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - q-Series
 - Partitions
 - Rank of a Partition
- 2 Cranks
 - Crank of a Partition
 - Cranks in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook
- 3 Plan of further study

Notations and Preliminaries

We record here some of the notations and important results that we shall be using throughout our study.

Notations and Preliminaries

We record here some of the notations and important results that we shall be using throughout our study.
For each nonnegative integer n , we set

Notations and Preliminaries

We record here some of the notations and important results that we shall be using throughout our study.

For each nonnegative integer n , we set

$$(a)_n := (a; q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), \quad (a)_\infty := (a; q)_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n, \quad |q| < 1.$$

We also set

We also set

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_n := (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$$

We also set

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_n := (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$$

and

We also set

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_n := (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$$

and

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_\infty := (a_1; q)_\infty \cdots (a_m; q)_\infty$$

We also set

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_n := (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$$

and

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_\infty := (a_1; q)_\infty \cdots (a_m; q)_\infty$$

Ramanujan's general theta function $f(a, b)$ is defined by

We also set

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_n := (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$$

and

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_\infty := (a_1; q)_\infty \cdots (a_m; q)_\infty$$

Ramanujan's general theta function $f(a, b)$ is defined by

$$f(a, b) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{n(n+1)/2} b^{n(n-1)/2}, \quad |ab| < 1.$$

We also set

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_n := (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$$

and

$$(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_\infty := (a_1; q)_\infty \cdots (a_m; q)_\infty$$

Ramanujan's general theta function $f(a, b)$ is defined by

$$f(a, b) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{n(n+1)/2} b^{n(n-1)/2}, \quad |ab| < 1.$$

This satisfies the well-known Jacobi's triple product identity, [3], [9]

$$f(a, b) = (-a; ab)_\infty (-b; ab)_\infty (ab; ab)_\infty.$$

Ramanujan's Theta Function

We shall also use the following identity of Ramanujan [1]

Ramanujan's Theta Function

We shall also use the following identity of Ramanujan [1]

$$f(a, b) = a^{n(n+1)/2} b^{n(n-1)/2} f(a(ab)^n; b(ab)^{-n}).$$

Ramanujan's Theta Function

We shall also use the following identity of Ramanujan [1]

$$f(a, b) = a^{n(n+1)/2} b^{n(n-1)/2} f(a(ab)^n; b(ab)^{-n}).$$

We follow [4] and [9] for introductions and properties of q – *Series*.

We shall be making use of the following results in our study of cranks in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook.

We shall be making use of the following results in our study of cranks in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook.

Theorem (Ramanujan-Kač-Wakimoto-Evans)

Let $a_k = (-1)^k q^{k(K=1)/2}$. Then

$$\frac{(q; q)_{\infty}^2}{(q/x; q)_{\infty} (qx; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k (1-x)}{1-xq^k}.$$

We shall be making use of the following results in our study of cranks in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook.

Theorem (Ramanujan-Kač-Wakimoto-Evans)

Let $a_k = (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2}$. Then

$$\frac{(q; q)_{\infty}^2}{(q/x; q)_{\infty} (qx; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_k (1-x)}{1-xq^k}.$$

Theorem (Ramanujan)

If $U_n = \alpha^{n(n+1)/2} \beta^{n(n-1)/2}$ and $V_n = \alpha^{n(n-1)/2} \beta^{n(n+1)/2}$ for each integer n , then

$$f(U_1, V_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} U_k f\left(\frac{U_{N+k}}{U_k}, \frac{V_{N-k}}{U_k}\right).$$

Quintuple Product Identity

Theorem (Quintuple Product Identity)

Let $f(a, b)$ be defined as above and let

$$f(-q) := f(-q, -q^2) = (q; q)_{\infty}.$$

Then

$$f(P^3Q, Q^5/P^3) - P^2f(Q/P^3, P^3Q^5) = f(-Q^2) \frac{f(-P^2, -Q^2/P^2)}{f(PQ, Q/P)}.$$

Quintuple Product Identity

Theorem (Quintuple Product Identity)

Let $f(a, b)$ be defined as above and let

$$f(-q) := f(-q, -q^2) = (q; q)_\infty.$$

Then

$$f(P^3Q, Q^5/P^3) - P^2f(Q/P^3, P^3Q^5) = f(-Q^2) \frac{f(-P^2, -Q^2/P^2)}{f(PQ, Q/P)}.$$

A proof of the above can be found in [8].

Winquist's Identity

Theorem (Winquist's Identity)

Following the notations given earlier, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a, q/a, b, q/b, ab, q/(ab), a/b, bq/a, q, q; q)_\infty \\
 &= f(-a^3, -q^3/a^3) \{ f(-b^3q, -q^2/b^3) - bf(-b^2q^2, -q/b^3) \} \\
 & - ab^{-1} f(-b^3, -q^3/b^3) \{ f(-a^3q, -q^2/a^3) - af(-a^3q^2, -q/a^3) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

What is a partition?

In loose laymen term, a partition of a non-negative integer is a representation of that integers in terms of smaller parts.

What is a partition?

In loose laymen term, a partition of a non-negative integer is a representation of that integers in terms of smaller parts. For example, $5 = 1 + 4$ is a partition of 5.

What is a partition?

In loose laymen term, a partition of a non-negative integer is a representation of that integers in terms of smaller parts. For example, $5 = 1 + 4$ is a partition of 5.

Definition (Partition Function)

If n is a positive integer, let $p(n)$ denote the number of unrestricted representations of n as a sum of positive integers, where representations with different orders of the same summands are not regarded as distinct. We call $p(n)$ the partition function.

What is a partition?

In loose laymen term, a partition of a non-negative integer is a representation of that integers in terms of smaller parts. For example, $5 = 1 + 4$ is a partition of 5.

Definition (Partition Function)

If n is a positive integer, let $p(n)$ denote the number of unrestricted representations of n as a sum of positive integers, where representations with different orders of the same summands are not regarded as distinct. We call $p(n)$ the partition function.

For example, $p(4) = 5$

What is a partition?

In loose laymen term, a partition of a non-negative integer is a representation of that integers in terms of smaller parts. For example, $5 = 1 + 4$ is a partition of 5.

Definition (Partition Function)

If n is a positive integer, let $p(n)$ denote the number of unrestricted representations of n as a sum of positive integers, where representations with different orders of the same summands are not regarded as distinct. We call $p(n)$ the partition function.

For example, $p(4) = 5$, because there are 5 ways to represent 4 as a sum of positive integers,

What is a partition?

In loose laymen term, a partition of a non-negative integer is a representation of that integers in terms of smaller parts. For example, $5 = 1 + 4$ is a partition of 5.

Definition (Partition Function)

If n is a positive integer, let $p(n)$ denote the number of unrestricted representations of n as a sum of positive integers, where representations with different orders of the same summands are not regarded as distinct. We call $p(n)$ the partition function.

For example, $p(4) = 5$, because there are 5 ways to represent 4 as a sum of positive integers, namely,
 $4 = 3 + 1 = 2 + 2 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 2 + 1 + 1$.

In 1919, Ramanujan announced that he had found three simple congruences satisfied by $p(n)$ given by

In 1919, Ramanujan announced that he had found three simple congruences satisfied by $p(n)$ given by

$$p(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \tag{1}$$

In 1919, Ramanujan announced that he had found three simple congruences satisfied by $p(n)$ given by

$$p(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \tag{1}$$

$$p(7n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \tag{2}$$

In 1919, Ramanujan announced that he had found three simple congruences satisfied by $p(n)$ given by

$$p(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \tag{1}$$

$$p(7n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \tag{2}$$

$$p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11} \tag{3}$$

In 1919, Ramanujan announced that he had found three simple congruences satisfied by $p(n)$ given by

$$p(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \quad (1)$$

$$p(7n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \quad (2)$$

$$p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11} \quad (3)$$

Proofs of the above can be found in [3].

In order to find combinatorial interpretations of these famous congruences by Ramanujan, in 1944 Freeman Dyson [10] defined the *rank* of a partition to be the largest part minus the number of parts.

In order to find combinatorial interpretations of these famous congruences by Ramanujan, in 1944 Freeman Dyson [10] defined the *rank* of a partition to be the largest part minus the number of parts.

Let $N(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with rank congruent to m modulo t .

In order to find combinatorial interpretations of these famous congruences by Ramanujan, in 1944 Freeman Dyson [10] defined the *rank* of a partition to be the largest part minus the number of parts.

Let $N(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with rank congruent to m modulo t . Then Dyson conjectured that

In order to find combinatorial interpretations of these famous congruences by Ramanujan, in 1944 Freeman Dyson [10] defined the *rank* of a partition to be the largest part minus the number of parts.

Let $N(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with rank congruent to m modulo t . Then Dyson conjectured that

$$N(k, 5, 5n + 4) = \frac{p(5n + 4)}{5}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4,$$

and

$$N(k, 7, 7n + 5) = \frac{p(7n + 5)}{7}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 6,$$

which yield combinatorial interpretations of (1) and (2).

These conjectures were later proven by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer.

These conjectures were later proven by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer.
The generating function for $N(m, n)$ is given by

These conjectures were later proven by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer. The generating function for $N(m, n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(m, n) a^m q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(aq; q)_n (q/a; q)_n}$$

Here $|q| < 1$, $|q| < |a| < 1/|q|$.

These conjectures were later proven by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer. The generating function for $N(m, n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(m, n) a^m q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(aq; q)_n (q/a; q)_n}$$

Here $|q| < 1$, $|q| < |a| < 1/|q|$.

However, the corresponding analogue of the rank doesn't hold for (3), and so Dyson conjectured the existence of another statistic which he called *crank*.

These conjectures were later proven by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer. The generating function for $N(m, n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(m, n) a^m q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(aq; q)_n (q/a; q)_n}$$

Here $|q| < 1$, $|q| < |a| < 1/|q|$.

However, the corresponding analogue of the rank doesn't hold for (3), and so Dyson conjectured the existence of another statistic which he called *crank*.

A brief account of these can be found in [11].

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - q-Series
 - Partitions
 - Rank of a Partition
- 2 Cranks
 - Crank of a Partition
 - Cranks in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook
- 3 Plan of further study

Crank

In his doctoral dissertation F. G. Garvan defined vector partitions which became the forerunner of the true crank.

Crank

In his doctoral dissertation F. G. Garvan defined vector partitions which became the forerunner of the true crank. Then on June 6, 1987 at a student dormitory at the University of Illinois, G. E. Andrews and F. G. Garvan [2] found the true crank.

Crank

In his doctoral dissertation F. G. Garvan defined vector partitions which became the forerunner of the true crank. Then on June 6, 1987 at a student dormitory at the University of Illinois, G. E. Andrews and F. G. Garvan [2] found the true crank.

Definition (Crank)

For a partition π , let $\lambda(\pi)$ denote the largest part of π , let $\mu(\pi)$ denote the number of ones in π , and let $\nu(\pi)$ denote the number of parts of π larger than $\mu(\pi)$. The crank $c(\pi)$ is then defined to be

Crank

In his doctoral dissertation F. G. Garvan defined vector partitions which became the forerunner of the true crank. Then on June 6, 1987 at a student dormitory at the University of Illinois, G. E. Andrews and F. G. Garvan [2] found the true crank.

Definition (Crank)

For a partition π , let $\lambda(\pi)$ denote the largest part of π , let $\mu(\pi)$ denote the number of ones in π , and let $\nu(\pi)$ denote the number of parts of π larger than $\mu(\pi)$. The crank $c(\pi)$ is then defined to be

$$c(\pi) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\pi) & \text{if } \mu(\pi) = 0, \\ \nu(\pi) - \mu(\pi) & \text{if } \mu(\pi) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $M(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank m , and let $M(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank congruent to m modulo t .

Let $M(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank m , and let $M(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank congruent to m modulo t .

For $n \leq 1$ we set $M(0, 0) = 1$, $M(m, 0) = 0$, otherwise $M(0, 1) = -1$, $M(1, 1) = M(-1, 1) = 1$ and $M(m, 1) = 0$ otherwise.

Let $M(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank m , and let $M(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank congruent to m modulo t .

For $n \leq 1$ we set $M(0, 0) = 1$, $M(m, 0) = 0$, otherwise $M(0, 1) = -1$, $M(1, 1) = M(-1, 1) = 1$ and $M(m, 1) = 0$ otherwise.

The generating function for $M(m, n)$ is given by

Let $M(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank m , and let $M(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank congruent to m modulo t .

For $n \leq 1$ we set $M(0, 0) = 1$, $M(m, 0) = 0$, otherwise $M(0, 1) = -1$, $M(1, 1) = M(-1, 1) = 1$ and $M(m, 1) = 0$ otherwise.

The generating function for $M(m, n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(m, n) a^m q^n = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(aq; q)_{\infty} (q/a; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (4)$$

Let $M(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank m , and let $M(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with crank congruent to m modulo t .

For $n \leq 1$ we set $M(0, 0) = 1$, $M(m, 0) = 0$, otherwise $M(0, 1) = -1$, $M(1, 1) = M(-1, 1) = 1$ and $M(m, 1) = 0$ otherwise.

The generating function for $M(m, n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(m, n) a^m q^n = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(aq; q)_{\infty} (q/a; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (4)$$

The crank not only leads to combinatorial interpretations of (1) and (2), but also of (3).

Theorem (Andrews-Gravan [2])

With $M(m, t, n)$ defined as above,

Theorem (Andrews-Gravan [2])

With $M(m, t, n)$ defined as above,

$$M(k, 5, 5n + 4) = \frac{p(5n + 4)}{5}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4,$$

$$M(k, 7, 7n + 5) = \frac{p(7n + 5)}{7}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 6,$$

$$M(k, 11, 11n + 6) = \frac{p(11n + 6)}{11}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 10.$$

Theorem (Andrews-Garvan [2])

With $M(m, t, n)$ defined as above,

$$M(k, 5, 5n + 4) = \frac{p(5n + 4)}{5}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4,$$

$$M(k, 7, 7n + 5) = \frac{p(7n + 5)}{7}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 6,$$

$$M(k, 11, 11n + 6) = \frac{p(11n + 6)}{11}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 10.$$

An excellent introduction to cranks is given by Garvan in [12].

Ramanujan and cranks

In page 179 of [13], we find the generating function for cranks (4) in the form

$$F(q) := F_a(q) := \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty} =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n q^n.$$

Ramanujan and cranks

In page 179 of [13], we find the generating function for cranks (4) in the form

$$F(q) := F_a(q) := \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty} =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n q^n.$$

And so from (4), we have

$$\lambda_n = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M(m, n) a^m.$$

Ramanujan and cranks

In page 179 of [13], we find the generating function for cranks (4) in the form

$$F(q) := F_a(q) := \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty} =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n q^n.$$

And so from (4), we have

$$\lambda_n = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M(m, n) a^m.$$

We note that if $a = 1$ in the above, then it reduces to the generating function of $p(n)$.

Dissections

Definition (Dissections)

If

$$P(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

is any power series, then the m -dissection of $P(q)$ is given by

$$P(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n_j=0}^{\infty} a_{n_j m + j} q^{n_j m + j}.$$

Dissections

Definition (Dissections)

If

$$P(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

is any power series, then the m -dissection of $P(q)$ is given by

$$P(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n_j=0}^{\infty} a_{n_j m + j} q^{n_j m + j}.$$

In his lost notebook [13] Ramanujan offers, in various guises, m -dissections for $F_a(q)$ for $m = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$.

Dissections

Definition (Dissections)

If

$$P(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

is any power series, then the m -dissection of $P(q)$ is given by

$$P(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n_j=0}^{\infty} a_{n_j m + j} q^{n_j m + j}.$$

In his lost notebook [13] Ramanujan offers, in various guises, m -dissections for $F_a(q)$ for $m = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$. In particular on page 179 Ramanujan offers 2- and 3- dissections for $F_q(q)$ in the form of congruences.

Dissections

Definition (Dissections)

If

$$P(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

is any power series, then the m -dissection of $P(q)$ is given by

$$P(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n_j=0}^{\infty} a_{n_j m + j} q^{n_j m + j}.$$

In his lost notebook [13] Ramanujan offers, in various guises, m -dissections for $F_a(q)$ for $m = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$. In particular on page 179 Ramanujan offers 2- and 3- dissections for $F_q(q)$ in the form of congruences.

We state the results, without proofs.

2-dissection

Theorem (2-dissection)

$$F_a(\sqrt{q}) \equiv \frac{f(-q^3; -q^5)}{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty} + \left(a - 1 + \frac{1}{a}\right) \sqrt{q} \frac{f(-q, -q^7)}{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty} \pmod{a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}}. \quad (5)$$

2-dissection

Theorem (2-dissection)

$$F_a(\sqrt{q}) \equiv \frac{f(-q^3; -q^5)}{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty} + (a-1 + \frac{1}{a})\sqrt{q} \frac{f(-q, -q^7)}{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty} \pmod{a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}}. \quad (5)$$

We note that $\lambda_2 = a^2 + a^{-2}$, which trivially implies that $a^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{\lambda_2}$ and $a^8 \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda_2}$. Thus, in (5) a behaves like a primitive 8th root of unity modulo λ_2 .

2-dissection

Theorem (2-dissection)

$$F_a(\sqrt{q}) \equiv \frac{f(-q^3; -q^5)}{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty} + (a-1 + \frac{1}{a})\sqrt{q} \frac{f(-q, -q^7)}{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty} \pmod{a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}}. \quad (5)$$

We note that $\lambda_2 = a^2 + a^{-2}$, which trivially implies that $a^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{\lambda_2}$ and $a^8 \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda_2}$. Thus, in (5) a behaves like a primitive 8th root of unity modulo λ_2 .

Thus, if we let $a = \exp(2\pi i/8)$ and replace q by q^2 in the definition of a dissection, (5) will give the 2-dissection of $F_a(q)$.

3-dissection

Theorem (3-dissection)

$$F_q(q^{1/3}) \equiv \frac{f(-q^2, -q^7)f(-q^4, -q^5)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty}$$

$$+(a-1+1/a)q^{1/3} \frac{f(-q, -q^8)f(-q^4, -q^5)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty}$$

$$+(a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2})q^{2/3} \frac{f(-q, -q^8)f(-q^2, -q^7)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty} \pmod{a^3 + 1 + \frac{1}{a^3}}. (6)$$

3-dissection

Theorem (3-dissection)

$$F_q(q^{1/3}) \equiv \frac{f(-q^2, -q^7)f(-q^4, -q^5)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty}$$

$$+(a-1+1/a)q^{1/3} \frac{f(-q, -q^8)f(-q^4, -q^5)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty}$$

$$+(a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2})q^{2/3} \frac{f(-q, -q^8)f(-q^2, -q^7)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty} \pmod{a^3 + 1 + \frac{1}{a^3}}. (6)$$

Again we note that, $\lambda_3 = a^3 + 1 + \frac{1}{a^3}$, from which it follows that $a^9 \equiv -a^6 - a^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda_3}$. So in (6), a behaves like a primitive 9th root of unity.

3-dissection

Theorem (3-dissection)

$$F_q(q^{1/3}) \equiv \frac{f(-q^2, -q^7)f(-q^4, -q^5)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty}$$

$$+(a-1+1/a)q^{1/3} \frac{f(-q, -q^8)f(-q^4, -q^5)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty}$$

$$+(a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2})q^{2/3} \frac{f(-q, -q^8)f(-q^2, -q^7)}{(q^9; q^9)_\infty} \pmod{a^3 + 1 + \frac{1}{a^3}}. (6)$$

Again we note that, $\lambda_3 = a^3 + 1 + \frac{1}{a^3}$, from which it follows that $a^9 \equiv -a^6 - a^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda_3}$. So in (6), a behaves like a primitive 9th root of unity.

While if we let $a = \exp(2\pi i/9)$ and replace q by q^3 in the definition of a dissection, (6) will give the 3-dissection of $F_a(q)$.

5-dissection

In contrast to (5) and (6), Ramanujan offered the 5-dissection in terms of an equality.

5-dissection

In contrast to (5) and (6), Ramanujan offered the 5-dissection in terms of an equality.

Theorem (5-dissection)

$$F_a(q) = \frac{f(-q^2, -q^3)}{f^2(-q, -q^4)} f^2(-q^5) -$$
$$4\cos^2(2n\pi/5)q^{1/5} \frac{f^2(-q^5)}{f(-q, -q^4)} + 2\cos(4n\pi/5)q^{2/5} \frac{f^2(-q^5)}{f(-q^2, -q^3)}$$
$$- 2\cos(2n\pi/5)q^{3/5} \frac{f(-q, -q^4)}{f^2(-q^2, -q^3)} f^2(-q^5). (7)$$

5-dissection

In contrast to (5) and (6), Ramanujan offered the 5-dissection in terms of an equality.

Theorem (5-dissection)

$$F_a(q) = \frac{f(-q^2, -q^3)}{f^2(-q, -q^4)} f^2(-q^5) - 4\cos^2(2n\pi/5)q^{1/5} \frac{f^2(-q^5)}{f(-q, -q^4)} + 2\cos(4n\pi/5)q^{2/5} \frac{f^2(-q^5)}{f(-q^2, -q^3)} - 2\cos(2n\pi/5)q^{3/5} \frac{f(-q, -q^4)}{f^2(-q^2, -q^3)} f^2(-q^5). (7)$$

We observe that (7) has no term with $q^{4/5}$, which is a reflection of (1).

5-dissection

In contrast to (5) and (6), Ramanujan offered the 5-dissection in terms of an equality.

Theorem (5-dissection)

$$F_a(q) = \frac{f(-q^2, -q^3)}{f^2(-q, -q^4)} f^2(-q^5) - 4\cos^2(2n\pi/5)q^{1/5} \frac{f^2(-q^5)}{f(-q, -q^4)} + 2\cos(4n\pi/5)q^{2/5} \frac{f^2(-q^5)}{f(-q^2, -q^3)} - 2\cos(2n\pi/5)q^{3/5} \frac{f(-q, -q^4)}{f^2(-q^2, -q^3)} f^2(-q^5). \quad (7)$$

We observe that (7) has no term with $q^{4/5}$, which is a reflection of (1).

In fact, one can replace (7) by a congruence and in turn (5) and (6) by equalities. This is done in [5].

Other dissections

Ramanujan did not specifically give the 7- and 11-dissections of $F_a(q)$ in [13].

Other dissections

Ramanujan did not specifically give the 7- and 11-dissections of $F_a(q)$ in [13]. However, he vaguely gives some of the coefficients occurring in those dissections.

Other dissections

Ramanujan did not specifically give the 7- and 11-dissections of $F_a(q)$ in [13]. However, he vaguely gives some of the coefficients occurring in those dissections.

Uniform proofs of these dissections and the others already stated earlier are given in [5].

Other results

In [13], Ramanujan also recorded various other results related to cranks.

Other results

In [13], Ramanujan also recorded various other results related to cranks.

For example in pages 179 and 180, he gave tables of n for which λ_n satisfies certain congruences.

Other results

In [13], Ramanujan also recorded various other results related to cranks.

For example in pages 179 and 180, he gave tables of n for which λ_n satisfies certain congruences. All these claims are studied systematically in [1, 6] and [7].

Other results

In [13], Ramanujan also recorded various other results related to cranks.

For example in pages 179 and 180, he gave tables of n for which λ_n satisfies certain congruences. All these claims are studied systematically in [1, 6] and [7].

Ramanujan also recorded the first 21 coefficients in the power series of $F_a(q)$ in page 58.

Other results

In [13], Ramanujan also recorded various other results related to cranks.

For example in pages 179 and 180, he gave tables of n for which λ_n satisfies certain congruences. All these claims are studied systematically in [1, 6] and [7].

Ramanujan also recorded the first 21 coefficients in the power series of $F_a(q)$ in page 58.

There are many other claims in [13] that are related to cranks. In the remaining period of this project, we shall make an attempt to study them in detail.

The final problem

G. N. Watson in his famous presidential address of the London Mathematical Society mentioned that mock theta functions was the final mathematical object that Ramanujan worked on.

The final problem

G. N. Watson in his famous presidential address of the London Mathematical Society mentioned that mock theta functions was the final mathematical object that Ramanujan worked on. This observation was based on the fact that Ramanujan wrote to G. H. Hardy in January 1920, just three months before his death about mock theta functions.

The final problem

G. N. Watson in his famous presidential address of the London Mathematical Society mentioned that mock theta functions was the final mathematical object that Ramanujan worked on. This observation was based on the fact that Ramanujan wrote to G. H. Hardy in January 1920, just three months before his death about mock theta functions.

However, in recent years [7] it has come to light that Ramanujan kept two stacks of papers on which he worked, one of which was for scratch work.

The final problem

G. N. Watson in his famous presidential address of the London Mathematical Society mentioned that mock theta functions was the final mathematical object that Ramanujan worked on. This observation was based on the fact that Ramanujan wrote to G. H. Hardy in January 1920, just three months before his death about mock theta functions.

However, in recent years [7] it has come to light that Ramanujan kept two stacks of papers on which he worked, one of which was for scratch work. After scrutiny, it has been found that Ramanujan did scratch work related to cranks in his final days, that is around three days before his death.

The final problem

G. N. Watson in his famous presidential address of the London Mathematical Society mentioned that mock theta functions was the final mathematical object that Ramanujan worked on. This observation was based on the fact that Ramanujan wrote to G. H. Hardy in January 1920, just three months before his death about mock theta functions.

However, in recent years [7] it has come to light that Ramanujan kept two stacks of papers on which he worked, one of which was for scratch work. After scrutiny, it has been found that Ramanujan did scratch work related to cranks in his final days, that is around three days before his death.

It is therefore, very likely that the final problem on which Ramanujan worked was cranks, although it is very unlikely that Ramanujan thought about the combinatorial aspects of cranks.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - q-Series
 - Partitions
 - Rank of a Partition
- 2 Cranks
 - Crank of a Partition
 - Cranks in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook
- 3 Plan of further study

In the remaining period we plan to study the following

- Some further claims of Ramanujan in his Lost Notebook

In the remaining period we plan to study the following

- Some further claims of Ramanujan in his Lost Notebook
- Congruences related to rank and cranks.

In the remaining period we plan to study the following





- Some further claims of Ramanujan in his Lost Notebook
- Congruences related to rank and cranks.
- Combinatorial arguments related to cranks.





In the remaining period we plan to study the following






- Some further claims of Ramanujan in his Lost Notebook
- Congruences related to rank and cranks.
- Combinatorial arguments related to cranks.
- Inequalities related to cranks.

In the remaining period we plan to study the following

- Some further claims of Ramanujan in his Lost Notebook
- Congruences related to rank and cranks.
- Combinatorial arguments related to cranks.
- Inequalities related to cranks.
- Generalizations of ranks and cranks.

-  G. E. Andrews and B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Lost Notebook, Part III*, Springer, New York, 2012.
-  G. E. Andrews and F. G. Garvan, *Dyson's crank of a partition*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1988), 167 – 171.
-  B. C. Berndt, *Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan*, Student Mathematical Library, Vol. 34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
-  B. C. Berndt, *What is a q -Series?*, in *Ramanujan Rediscovered: Proceedings of a Conference on Elliptic Functions, Partitions and q -Series in memory of K. Venkatachaliengar: Bangalore, 1 – 5 June, 2009*, N. D. Baruah, B. C. Berndt, S. Cooper, T. Huber, and M. J. Schlosser, eds., RMS Lecture Note Series, No. 14, Ramanujan Mathematical Society, Mysore, 2010, pp. 31 – 51.

-  B. C. Berndt, H. H. Chan, S. H. Chan, and W. -C. Liaw, *Cranks and dissections in Ramanujan's lost notebook*, J. Comb. Thy., Ser. A **109** (2005), 91 – 120.
-  B. C. Berndt, H. H. Chan, S. H. Chan, and W. -C. Liaw, *Ramanujan and cranks*, in *Theory and Applications of Special Functions. A Volume Dedicated to Mizan Rahman*, M. E. H. Ismail and E. Koelink, eds., Springer, New York, 2005, pp. 77 – 98.
-  B. C. Berndt, H. H. Chan, S. H. Chan, and W. -C. Liaw, *Cranks – really the final problem*, Ramanujan J. **23** (2010), 3 – 15.
-  Z. Cao, *On Applications of Roots of Unity to Product Identities*, in *Partitions, q -Series and Modular Forms*, K. Alladi and F. G. Garvan, eds., Develop. in Math. **23**, 2011, Springer, New York, pp. 47 – 52.

-  H. -C. Chan, *An Invitation to q-Series: From Jacobi's Triple Product Identity to Ramanujan's "Most Beautiful Identity "*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2011.
-  F. Dyson, *Some Guesses in the Theory of Partitions*, *Eureka*, **8** (1944), 10 – 15.
-  F. Dyson, *A walk through Ramanujan's garden*, in *Ramanujan Revisited*, G. E. Andrews, R. A. Askey, B. C. Berndt, K. G. Ramanathan, and R. A. Rankin, eds., Academic Press, Boston, 1988, pp. 7 – 28.
-  F. G. Garvan, *Combinatorial interpretations of Ramanujan's partition congruences*, in *Ramanujan Revisited*, G. E. Andrews, R. A. Askey, B. C. Berndt, K. G. Ramanathan, and R. A. Rankin, eds., Academic Press, Boston, 1988, pp. 29 – 45.
-  S. Ramanujan, *The Lost Notebook and Other Unpublished Papers*, Narosa, New Delhi, 1988.

Thank You!